Medicine and Community Health Archives

https://mchapub.com/index.php/mcha ISSN: 3023-3011 September, 2023|Volume 01|Issue 02|



Randomized Clinical Trial: Timing of Indwelling Urethral Catheter Removal after Transurethral Resection of the Prostate

Intisar Ali¹ | Shaoli Sarker^{2,*}



¹Saudi Board of Preventive Medicine, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.
²Head of Home Health Care Department, King Salman Armed Forces Hospital, Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.
*Corresponding author

Received 22-08-2023 | Accepted 10-09-2023 | Published Online 16-09-2023

By accessing and using the content from Medicine & Community Health Archives, users agree to adhere to the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. We encourage the responsible and ethical use of the published material to promote the advancement of knowledge in the field of medicine and community health

Abstract:

Objectives: The timing of indwelling urethral catheter (IUC) removal following transurethral resection (TURP) is controversial and depends mainly on individual clinical practice. This clinical trial aimed to evaluate early versus delayed removal of the IUC.

Patients and methods: In this clinical trial, 90 patients who underwent TURP were prospectively randomized equally into two groups: group A, early IUC removal (24h), and group B, delayed IUC removal (72h). After TURP, re-catheterization, secondary bleeding, hospital stay, Urinary tract infection, catheter-related pain, and catheter-related bladder discomfort (CRBD) were evaluated.

Results: Baseline data and perioperative characteristics were comparable between the groups. The mean length of hospital stay was longer among the patients in group B than among those in group A, $3.64 \ \Box 0.57$ vs $2.83 \ \Box 0.43$, respectively (p<0.001). There were no significant differences in re-catheterization, secondary bleeding, or UTI between groups A and B. The mean VAS score and CRBD before and after IUC removal were higher in group B than in group A (p<0.001). The mean total dose of tramadol was higher in group B than in group A (129 mg vs 45.44 mg respectively), (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Early IUC removal following TURP is safe approach with favorable clinical outcomes.

Keywords: urethral catheter removal, benign prostatic hyperplasia, transurethral resection of the prostate, early versus delay catheter removal.

Introduction:

Benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) is a common urological disease among elderly men and one of the major causes of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS). The prevalence of BPH increases with age, as approximately 80% of men older than 70 years suffer from BPH, with a significant negative impact on quality of life1,2.

Globally, alpha 1 adrenergic receptor antagonists, alone or in combination with 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors, are commonly used as oral medical therapy for LUTS secondary to BPH. Guidelines indicate the need for surgical treatment for patients with severe LUTS or for those suffering from undesirable adverse effects or intolerance to medical therapy3. Although several surgical approaches have been developed for the treatment of LUTS secondary to BPH, transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) remains the gold standard surgical procedure worldwide and is a common, minimally invasive approach in urology practice4.

Following TURP, the insertion of an indwelling urethral catheter (IUC) is essential for continuous urinary bladder irrigation to reduce the risk of clot formation and retention. However, IUC use is associated with an increased risk of developing urinary tract infection (UTI). Catheter-associated urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most common hospital-acquired infections worldwide. Approximately 20% of hospital-acquired bacteremias arise from the urinary tract and are associated with a mortality rate of approximately 10%5-7. Furthermore, Catheter-associated UTI has been associated with a longer hospital stay, and the economic burden is estimated at \$676 to \$12,000 per UTI case and \$340 to \$450 million annually8.

Similarly, prolonged IUC time, UTI, and local catheter trauma increase the risk of urethral stricture formation after TURP9. Catheter-related bladder discomfort (CRBD) and urethral catheterrelated pain (UCRP) are the most common distressing symptoms of TURP10,11. CRBD is characterized by symptoms similar to those of overactive bladder, such as urinary frequency and urgency, with or without urge incontinence12. Despite diverse medications, many controversies persist in clinical practice, and no effective treatment for UCRP and CRBD without adverse events has been established yet13. Currently, the optimal time for IUC removal after TURP has not been established. However, it is based on clinical practice rather than evidencebased knowledge, and varies considerably. Hence, in this clinical randomized trial, we aimed to evaluate the effect of early vs. delayed IUC removal on the re-catheterization rate, length of hospital stay, secondary bleeding, risk of UTI, UCRP, and CRBD.

Patients and methods

Study design and approval

This randomized, blinded clinical trial was conducted between September 2016 and June 2020. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Jordan University Hospital (IRB#10/2016/14734) and was registered at ClinicalTrials. gov (NCT04363970). All participants were informed of the study design and signed a written informed consent form in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patient recruitment and randomization

Ninety patients with BPH who underwent TURP were randomized into two equal groups using a computer-generated randomization list. Group A comprised patients in whom the urethral catheter was removed 24 h after the procedure (early removal), and Group B comprised patients in whom the urethral catheter was removed 72 h after the procedure (delayed removal). The randomization order was blinded to the patients, primary surgeons, and the post-operative independent observers.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients eligible for inclusion in the study were men aged \geq 45 years with LUTS secondary to BPH. Patients with large amounts of PVR urine, urethral stricture, UTI, simultaneous optical urethrotomy or cystolithotripsy, bleeding diathesis, spinal cord injury, cerebrovascular accident, neurogenic urinary bladder, capsular or urinary bladder perforation, or severe bleeding during or immediately after surgery were excluded.

Technique

All procedures were performed under general or spinal anesthesia by the same experienced surgeon (S.A), who was blinded to the randomization order. Intravenous antibiotics were administered to all patients at the time of anesthesia induction and maintained throughout the hospital stay. The patient was then switched to oral antibiotics for three days. Monopolar TURP was performed, and the hyperplastic prostate tissue was removed from the surgical capsule. At the end of the procedure three-way urethral catheter 20 Fr was inserted for irrigation with normal saline 0.9%, and the balloon was inflated with 20 cc normal saline. Bladder irrigation was reduced as soon as feasible and stopped if the drainage was clear. Postoperatively, the urethral catheter was removed once the effluent was clear without irrigation, vital signs were stable, and laboratory tests, such as CBC, creatinine, and electrolytes, were normal.

Assessment and Outcome measures

All patients were admitted to the hospital and assessed preoperatively by history and physical examination, including International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) and digital rectal examination. Laboratory data collected included full blood counts; serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA); kidney function tests, including serum creatinine, urea, sodium, and potassium; urine analyses; and urine cultures. Transabdominal ultrasonography was used to assess prostate size and post-void residual urine (PVR). Uroflowmetry tests were performed to measure the maximum flow rate (Qmax).

The outcomes of interest in this study were inability to void re-catheterization, secondary bleeding, UTI, length of hospital stay, CRBD, and UCRP. IPSS, Qmax, and PVR were assessed at baseline, two weeks, one month, and three months after TURP. UCRP and CRBD were evaluated before and after IUC removal. UCRP was assessed using the VAS score (0–10), with 0 indicating no pain and 10 indicating maximum unbearable pain. CRBD was assessed based on three grades and questionnaire items: Grade I (mild 1-3); reported by the patient only on questioning; Grade II (moderate 4-6); expressed by the patient without questioning and not accompanied by any behavioral responses; and Grade III (severe 7-10); expressed by the patient and accompanied by any behavioral responses. If the VAS score was \Box 4. tramadol (1 mg/kg) was administered at the maximum dose of 400 mg/ 24h. After urethral catheter removal, all patients were evaluated on the floor by an independent observer before discharge for urinary retention and hematuria. The patients were discharged after they were able to void satisfactorily several times. Adverse events prompting re-catheterization include urinary retention and bleeding. Patients were instructed to visit the emergency room if they developed urinary retention. severe hematuria, or signs and symptoms of urinary tract infection. Patients were assessed at the urology outpatient clinic at different time points during the follow-up for urinary retention, hematuria, UTI, IPSS, PVR, and Qmax. At any time point of follow-up, if the patient developed urinary retention and severe hematuria, IUC was reinserted. Patients who developed UTI were treated accordingly. The length of the hospital stay was assessed from the day of admission to the date of discharge.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were calculated using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows sciences (IBM, 25). Repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA) was used to examine the effect of catheter removal time after TURP in four studies. According to the level of measurement of the variables, t-test and chisquare tests were used to compare the participants' characteristics and clinical outcomes based on the time of catheter removal after TURP. The sample size was calculated using G*Power software (Faul et al)14. An independent samples t-test was used to compare the sample means of the two independent groups for an interval-scale

variable when the distribution was approximately normal. The criteria utilized were a power of 80%, a moderate effect size of 0.55, and an alpha of 0.05. The required sample size for each group is 42. The actual sample size was 90 (45 patients per group).

	Group A (early removal) n=45	Group B (delay removal) n=45	<i>p</i> - value
Age (years) Mean±SD	65.84 ±8.20	68.18 ±7.99	0.18
BMI (kg/m ²) Mean±SD	26.76 ±3.16	27.63 ±3.81	0.24
Prostate size (g) Mean±SD	67.44 ±16.44	71.22 ±16.59	0.29
Resection weight (g) Mean±SD	23.53 ±7.45	24.13 ±7.45	0.71
DM (n) (%)	14 (31.1)	20 (44.4)	0.19
HTN (n) (%)	17 (37.8)	18 (40.0)	0.83
IHD (n) (%)	11 (24.4)	5 (11.4)	0.11
α-blockers (n) (%) α-blocker and 5α-	34 (75.6)	29 (64.4) 16 (35.6)	0.25
reductase (n) (%)	()	(00.0)	

Table 1: Baseline data and perioperative characteristics.

SD, standard deviation; DM: Diabetes Mellitus, HTN: Arterial Hypertension, IHD: Ischemic Heart Disease.

Table 2: comparison of clinical data					
	Group A	Group B	<i>p</i> - value		
	(early removal)	(delay removal)			
	n=45	n=45			
Length of hospital	2.83 ±0.43	3.64 ±0.57	<0.001		
stay (days)	2.03 ±0.15	5.01 ±0.57			
Mean±SD					
Re-catheterization	0.07 ±0.25	0.09 ±0.29	0.70		
Mean±SD					
Secondary bleeding	0.13 ±0.34	0.09 ±0.29	0.51		
Mean±SD					
UTI	0.00 ± 0.0	0.07 ±0.25	0.08		
Mean±SD					
VAS before IUC	2.67 ±1.04	3.73 ±1.18	< 0.001		
removal					
Mean±SD					
VAS after IUC	0.24 ±0.61	0.56 ± 0.62	0.019		
removal					
Mean±SD		.	0.001		
CRBD before IUC	3.36 ±1.25	5.49 ±1.20	< 0.001		
removal Mean±SD					
CRBD after IUC	0.22 ±0.47	1.11 ±0.57	<0.001		
removal	0.22 ±0.47	1.11 ±0.57	<0.001		
Mean±SD					
Total Tramadol	45.44 ±59.13	129.0±105.26	< 0.001		
consumption (mg)	15.11 ±57.15	129.0 ±105.20			
Mean±SD					
IPSS at baseline	24.04 ±4.41	24.18 ±4.33	0.62		
Mean±SD					
IPSS at 3 months	11.92 ±3.13	12.41 ±3.73	0.55		
Mean±SD					
Qmax at baseline	8.97 ±3.59	8.45 ±3.80	0.51		
Mean±SD					
Qmax at 3 months	26.78 ±10.12	25.82 ±10.06	0.65		
Mean±SD					
PVR at baseline	96.51 ±64.26	97.11 ±60.02	0.96		
Mean±SD					
PVR at 3 months	27.60 ±22.47	31.56 ±22.94	0.41		
Mean±SD					

Table 2: comparison of clinical data

Results:

Figure 1 summarizes the patient enrollment, allocation, follow-up, and final analyses in both study groups. A total of 45 patients were included in each group. The mean baseline data and perioperative characteristics were comparable between patients in both groups, with no significant differences. Table 1 shows the baseline and perioperative clinical characteristics of patients.

The mean length of hospital stay was longer among patients in group B than among patients in group A, 3.64 \Box 0.57 vs 2.83 \Box 0.43, respectively, (p <0.001).

Most patients in both groups voided successfully after IUC removal and had no urinary retention requiring urethral re-catheterization. There were no significant differences in re-catheterization, secondary hemorrhage, and UTI between groups and В (p=0.70), (p=0.51), Α (p=0.08,respectively). Although there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of UTI, patients in group B who had delayed IUC removal were at a greater risk of developing UTI (p=0.08). Table 2

The mean VAS score for UCRP before IUC removal was significantly higher in Group B than in Group A (p < 0.001). After the removal of the IUC, the VAS score was still higher among patients in group B (p=0.019). CRBD was significantly higher before and after IUC removal in Group B (p < 0.001). There were no significant differences between the groups in terms of IPSS, Qmax, or PVR at baseline and 3 months after TURP. Furthermore, the mean total dose of tramadol was higher among patients in group B than in group A (129 mg vs 45.44 mg respectively); (p < 0.001). Table 2

Discussion:

The ideal time for IUC removal after TURP remains controversial, and the decision is usually based on clinical practice rather than on evidencebased knowledge. The major finding of our clinical trial was that early IUC removal after TURP was a feasible and safe clinical approach. Patients in both groups voided successfully, with no significant differences between them in terms of re-catheterization, secondary bleeding, and UTI. In addition, the mean length of hospital stay, VAS score, and CRBD were lower among the patients in the early IUC removal group.

Yu et al15 reported in meta-analysis that there was no significant difference in the rate of recatheterization between the early and delayed catheter removal groups (RR 1.12, 95% CI 0.73 1.72). In contrast, different studies have reported that early catheter removal leads to an increased re-catheterization rate and clot retention compared to delayed catheter removal16-18. In our study, the mean re-catheterization rate was 0.07 \Box 0.25, (p=0.70)

Chander et al19 demonstrated in their study that earlier catheter removal reduced the length of hospital stay from 3.1 to 1.28 days. Similarly, Shum et al20 concluded that early catheter removal on the first post-operative day was safe, with an overall hospital stay of 1.6 days. However, this study had a small sample size (40 patients) and the energy source for TURP was bipolar, whereas we used mono-polar. Our results confirm the previous finding that the length of hospital stay was significantly reduced in the early catheter removal group to 2.83 \Box 0.43 vs 3.64 \Box 0.57 (p<0.001).

Furthermore, several studies have reported that early catheter removal is not only safe but also cost-effective. Mueller et al21 reported that the mean cost savings for early catheter removal following TURP were \$829 and \$1406 for patients aged <70 and >70 years, respectively.

Secondary bleeding is mainly attributed to surgical techniques and patient factors such as bleeding diathesis, large prostate volume, and comorbidity22. One variable that can predispose

patients to perioperative bleeding is UTI23. We ensured that all patients had UTI preoperatively and were treated preoperatively. We also administered prophylactic antibiotics at the time of induction and continued the procedure postoperatively. In addition, Chander et al19 did not find significant bleeding or clot retention after early catheter removal. They reported early catheter removal within 7.5 hours in 92% of patients and within 10 h in the remaining 8% of their patients. None of the patients required recatheterization due to bleeding or clot retention. Yu et al15 reported in meta-analysis that there were no significant differences in the rate of secondary hemorrhage between the early and delayed catheter removal groups (RR 1.07, 95% CI 0.54 2.13).

IUC is a common cause of UTI, as it increases the risk of infection by 5-10% per day of use24. The expert panel of the Infectious Diseases Society of America agreed with evidence-based international clinical practice guidelines for procedures and strategies to reduce the risk of catheter-associated asymptomatic bacteriuria and UTI. They concluded that there is strong evidence that the IUC should be removed as soon as it is no longer required to minimize the risk of bacteriuria and UTI7. In the present study, the mean UTI in both groups was comparable, but patients in group B who underwent late IUC removal were more likely to have UTI (p=0.08).

Of all surgical modalities for BPH, monopolar TURP can substantially improve Qmax, IPSS, and health-related quality of life, with long-term efficacy compared to medical therapy or other minimally invasive approaches25. In this study, we demonstrated significant improvements in Qmax, IPSS, and PVR after three months in both groups, but there was no significant difference between the groups.

UCRP and CRBD can trigger serious behavioral effects, such as confusion and agitation, which can lead to traumatic attempts to remove the urethral catheter, causing urethral injury and subsequent urethral stricture10,26-28. Muscarinic receptor antagonists (MRA) have been shown to be effective in improving tolerance IUC's10,26. However, this approach has proven disadvantages related to undesirable adverse effects and pain, which seem to be unrelated to the muscarinic receptors. In our study, the mean VAS and CRBD scores before and after IUC removal were lower in group A, and this is expected because the duration of IUC in group A was shorter (24 h vs. 72 h).

For pain management, the current guidelines of the American Society of Anesthesiologists suggest that a multimodal approach should be initiated post-operatively and that NSAID should be considered29. In our study, we used tramadol for pain control analgesia to avoid adverse effects of NSAID, such as bleeding complications, and we found that the mean of total tramadol consumption was less among patients in group A compared to patients in group B 45.44 mg vs 129 mg respectively.

This study has some limitations. First, the sample size is small. Second, we did not provide a validated assessment of the cost and quality of life. Finally, there is no validated questionnaire to measure CRBD. Despite these limitations, this study was a randomized controlled trial, and all patients, primary surgeons, and post-operative independent observers were blinded to the randomization at the end of the surgery and postoperative follow-up.

Conclusion:

Our data indicate that early IUC removal following TURP is a safe and feasible clinical approach that does not increase the incidence of re-catheterization, secondary bleeding, and UTI. Furthermore, the length of hospital stay, UCRP levels, CRBD, and analgesic consumption were significantly reduced.

Abbreviations:

Indwelling urethral catheter (IUC): lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS): benign prostate

hyperplasia (BPH): catheter-related bladder discomfort (CRBD; Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP): urinary tract infection (UTI): urethral catheter-related pain ()

Acknowledgements

The authors cordially thank the participants for their time and valuable contributions to this research.

Consent for Publication

Not applicable.

Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this work.

References:

- 1. Egan KB. The Epidemiology of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Associated with Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms: Prevalence and Incident Rates. Urol Clin North Am. 2016; 43: 289–297.
- Welch G, Weinger K, Barry MJ. Qualityof-life impact of lower urinary tract symptom severity: Results from the Health Professionals Follow-up Study. Urology. 2002; 59:245–250.
- Şahin B, Çam HK. The Current Approach to Male Patients with Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. J Urol Surg. 2021; 8: 130–134.
- Gravas S, Cornu JN, Gacci M, Gratzke C, Herrmann TRW, Mamoulakis C, Rieken M, Speakman MJ TK. EAU Guidelines on Management of Non-Neurogenic Male Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS), incl. Benign Prostatic Obstruction (BPO) 2020.
- 5. Jennifer A. Kaplan JTC. Near-perfect compliance with SCIP Inf-9 had no effect

on catheter utilization or urinary tract infections at an academic medical center. Am J Surg. 2018; 215: 23–27.

- Okrainec A, Aarts MA, Conn LG, McCluskey S, McKenzie M, Pearsall EA, et al. Compliance with Urinary Catheter Removal Guidelines Leads to Improved Outcome in Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Patients. J Gastrointest Surg. 2017; 21: 1309–1317.
- Hooton TM, Bradley SF, Cardenas DD, Colgan R, Geerlings SE, Rice JC, et al. Diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of catheter-aassociated urinary tract infection in adults: 2009 international clinical practice guidelines from the infectious diseases society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 50: 625–663.
- Kang CY, Chaudhry OO, Halabi WJ, Nguyen V, Carmichael JC, Mills S, et al. Risk factors for post-operative urinary tract infection and urinary retention in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. Am Surg. 2012;78: 1100–1104.
- 9. Park JK, Lee SK, Han SH, Kim SD, Choi KS, Kim MK. Is Warm Temperature Necessary to Prevent Urethral Stricture in Combined Transurethral Resection and Vaporization of Prostate? Urology. 2009; 74: 125–129.
- Agarwal A, Raza M, Singhal V, Dhiraaj S, Kapoor R, Srivastava A, et al. The efficacy of tolterodine for prevention of catheterrelated bladder discomfort: A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind study. Anesth Analg. 2005; 101: 1065–1071.
- Tauzin-Fin P, Sesay M, Svartz L, Krol-Houdek MC, Maurette P. Sublingual oxybutynin reduces post-operative pain related to indwelling bladder catheter after radical retropubic prostatectomy. Br J Anaesth. 2007; 99: 572–575.

- 12. Hur M, Park SK, Yoon HK, Yoo S, Lee HC, Kim WH, et al. Comparative effectiveness of interventions for managing post-operative catheter-related bladder discomfort: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Anesth. 2019; 33: 197–208.
- 13. Zugail AS, Pinar U, Irani J. Evaluation of pain and catheter-related bladder discomfort relative to balloon volumes of indwelling urinary catheters: A prospective study. Investig Clin Urol. 2019; 60: 35–39.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behavior research methods. 2007; 39: 175-191.
- 15. Yu JJ, Li Q, Zhang P, Shu B. Early catheter removal adds no significant morbidity following transurethral resection of the prostate: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2018; 11: 1448-1457.
- Agrawal SK, Kumar AS. Early removal of catheter following transurethral resection of the prostate. British journal of urology. 1993; 72:928-929.
- 17. Mamo GJ, Cohen SP. Early catheter removal vs. conventionalpractice in patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate. Urology. 1991; 37: 519–522.
- Şahin C, Kalkan M. The effect of catheter removal time following transurethral resection of the prostate on post-operative urinary retention. Eur J Gen Med. 2011; 8: 280–283.
- Chander J, Vanitha V, Lal P, Ramteke VK. Transurethral resection of the prostate as catheter-free day-care surgery. BJU international. 2003; 92: 422-425.
- 20. Shum CF, Mukherjee A, Teo CPC. Catheter-free discharge on first post-

operative day after bipolar transurethral resection of prostate: Clinical outcomes of 100 cases. Int J Urol. 2014; 21: 313–318.

- 21. Ml'Eller EJ, Zeidman EJ, Desmond PM, Thompson IM, Optenberg SA, Wasson J. Reduction of length of stay and cost of transurethral resection of the prostate by early catheter removal. Br J Urol. 1996; 78: 893–896.
- 22. Teng J, Zhang D, Li Y, Yin L, Wang K, Cui X, et al. Photoselective vaporization with the green light laser vs transurethral resection of the prostate for treating benign prostate hyperplasia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BJU Int. 2013; 111: 312–323.
- Shrestha BM, Prasopshanti K, Matanhelia SS, Peeling WB. Blood loss during and after transurethral resection of prostate: A prospective study. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2008; 6: 329–334.
- 24. Givens CD, Wenzel RP. Catheterassociated urinary tract infections in surgical patients: A controlled study on the excess morbidity and costs. J Urol. 1980; 124: 646–648.
- 25. Lourenco T, Pickard R, Vale L, Grant A, Fraser C, MacLennan G, et al. Minimally invasive treatments for benign prostatic enlargement: Systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Bmj. 2008; 337: 966–969.
- 26. Agarwal A, Dhiraaj S, Singhal V, Kapoor R, Tandon M. Comparison of efficacy of oxybutynin and tolterodine for prevention of catheter related bladder discomfort: A prospective, randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind study. Br J Anaesth. 2006; 96: 377–380.
- Tauzin-Fin P, Stecken L, Sztark F. Inconfort lié à la sonde vésicale en période postopératoire. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim. 2012; 31: 605–608.

- 28. Zhang Z, Cao Z, Xu C, Wang H, Zhang C, Pan A, et al. Solifenacin is able to improve the irritative symptoms after transurethral resection of bladder tumors. Urology. 2014; 84: 117–121.
- American Society of Anesthesiologists. Practice Guidelines for Acute Pain Management in the. Anesthesiology. 2012; 116: 248–273.